

Exclusion probability in parentage tests

Co-dominant genetic markers, such as DNA microsatellites, are used to resolve parentage disputes. Three examples consider uniparous offspring in different circumstances:

- (1) one parent is wrongly identified,
- (2) both parents are wrongly identified and
- (3) where the genotype of one parent is not available.

In parentage testing, the usefulness of any co-dominant marker is defined as the probability of it making an exclusion. Each of the three familiar situations is expressed as a general formula. In addition, each general formula is transformed, i.e. expressed in powers of the allele frequencies (p_i) to help those who calculate practical examples.

1) Given two parents and one offspring; exclude a parent. An example of this is a familiar paternity case. The general equation is

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (1-p_i)^2 - \sum_{i>j=1}^n (p_i p_j)^2 [4-3(p_i+p_j)] \quad (\text{Jamieson 1965, 1979})$$

which, expressed as powers of p_i , becomes

$$P = 1 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^3 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^4 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^5 - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \right)^2 + 3 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^3 \quad (\text{Jamieson 1994})$$

2) Given two parents and one offspring; exclude both parents. An example of this is a changeling. The general equation is

$$P = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n [p_i^2 (2-p_i)]^2 - 2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 (2-p_i) \right]^2 + 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^3 \right)^2 - 4 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^6 \quad (\text{Grundel & Reets 1981})$$

which, expressed in powers of p_i , becomes

$$P = 1 + 4 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^4 - 4 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^5 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^6 - 8 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \right)^2 + 8 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^3 \right) + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^3 \right)^2 \quad (\text{Jamieson & Taylor 1997})$$

3) Given one parent and one offspring; exclude their relationship. An example of this is a sire or dam genotype missing. The general equation is

$$P = \sum_{i=j}^n p_i^2 (1-p_i)^2 + \sum_{i>j=1}^n 2 p_i p_j (1-p_i-p_j)^2 \quad (\text{Grabar & Morrice 1983})$$

which, expressed in powers of p_i , becomes

$$P = 1 - 4 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \right)^2 + 4 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^4 \quad (\text{Jamieson \& Taylor 1997})$$

Combining P over k unlinked markers in any of the above formulae gives

$$P = 1 - (1 - P_1)(1 - P_2)(1 - P_3) \dots (1 - P_k)$$

Derivations of formulae

Graber R.A. & Morris J.W. (1983) General equation for the average power of exclusion for genetic systems of n co-dominant alleles in one-parent and in no-parent cases of disputed parentage. In: *Inclusion Probabilities in Parentage Testing* (Ed. by R.H. Walker), pp. 277-280. American Association of Blood Banks, Arlington, WV.

Grundel H. & Reets I. (1981) Exclusion probabilities obtained by biochemical polymorphisms in dogs. *Animal Blood Groups and Biochemical Genetics* **12**, 123–32.

Jamieson A. (1965) The genetics of transferrin in cattle. *Heredity* **20**, 419–41.

Jamieson A. (1979) Electromorphs and erroneous pedigrees. *Proceedings of the XVIITH International Conference on Animal Blood Groups and Biochemical Polymorphisms, Leningrad 1978*. Four volumes. The National Committee of the USSR, p.27 (abstract).

Jamieson A. (1994) The effectiveness of using co-dominant polymorphic allelic series for (1) checking pedigrees and (2) distinguishing full-sib pair members. In: *Festschrift in Honour of Dr Clyde J. Stormont. Animal Genetics* **25 (Supplement 1)**, 37–44.

Jamieson A. and Taylor S[†]C. S. (1997) Comparison of three probability formulae for parentage exclusion. *Animal Genetics* **28**, 397–400.

Dr Alan Jamieson
 “The Pleasance”
 Cotmer Road
 Oulton Broad
 Suffolk
 NR33 9PL
 UK
 E-mail: ajamie@dircon.co.uk